Percentage of each district within each cost factor category

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
County	School district	Grades EE & pK	Grades K-5	Grades 6-8	Grades 9-12	FRL	Sparsity <36 per square mile	Sparsity ≥36 and <55 per square mile	Sparsity ≥55 and <100 per square mile	Small School ≤100 enrollment	Small School 100 < enrollment ≤250	ELL
Addison	ochool district	1					THILE	Square mile	Square mile		=250	
7.00.00	Addison Central USD	10.7%	39.1%	21.2%	29.0%	27.8%			100.0%			0.8%
Addison	Addison NW USD	8.1%	37.6%	22.6%	31.7%	25.7%	_	100.0%	-	6.9%	36.5%	1.1%
	Granville-Hancock USD	11.5%	56.3%	12.1%	20.1%	50.8%	100.0%		-			,
	Mt. Abraham USD	8.4%	41.3%	22.6%	27.7%	25.6%	100.070	100.0%	-	5.1%	43.1%	0.5%
Benningto		0.170	11.070	22.070	27.170	20.070	I	100.070	1	0.170	10.170	0.07
	Arlington	11.5%	41.4%	19.6%	27.5%	40.1%			100.0%	-		0.3%
	Mt. Anthony UHSD		-	40.2%	59.8%	46.4%	-		-	-	_	0.3%
	North Bennington ID	18.7%	70.7%	10.6%	-	37.6%	-	-	-	-		0.4%
	Sandgate	5.7%	50.9%	24.6%	18.8%	27.9%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	
	Searsburg	7.4%	53.0%	2.5%	37.1%	44.6%	100.0%	-	-	-		
-	Southwest Vermont UESD	20.0%	75.8%	4.1%	1	61.7%	-	-	-	-	-	0.3%
	Stamford	5.9%	36.5%	26.5%	31.1%	14.6%	100.0%	-	-	71.2%	-	
	Taconic & Green Regional USD	9.2%	34.6%	19.5%	36.7%	32.1%	-	100.0%	-	8.8%	8.6%	0.9%
	Winhall	5.8%	38.8%	22.9%	32.5%	8.3%	100.0%		-	-		
Caledonia					•		•					
	Caledonia Cooperative USD	7.7%	39.2%	19.9%	33.2%	36.0%	100.0%		-	10.5%	49.1%	
	Danville	12.9%	41.7%	24.4%	21.1%	30.2%	-	100.0%	-	-	-	
	Hazen UHSD	-	-	33.2%	66.8%	42.5%	-	100.0%	-	-	-	0.5%
Caledonia	Kingdom East USD	9.0%	39.8%	20.7%	30.5%	43.0%	-	100.0%	-	7.6%	29.0%	0.8%
	Peacham	9.5%	41.4%	18.6%	30.5%	27.6%	100.0%	-	-	51.4%		
	St. Johnsbury	9.0%	35.1%	18.8%	37.1%	48.8%	-	-	-	-	-	0.5%
	Stannard	-	-	23.5%	76.5%	37.7%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	
Chittender	1											
	Burlington	11.7%	42.0%	20.9%	25.3%	47.6%	-	-	-	-	-	14.8%
	Champlain Valley USD	10.0%	38.8%	21.2%	30.0%	12.9%	-	-	-	-	-	2.5%
	Colchester	10.7%	42.8%	20.9%	25.6%	29.5%	-	-	-	-	-	3.2%
Chittenden	Essex-Westford EC USD	9.8%	39.3%	21.5%	29.4%	21.5%	-	-	-	-	-	4.8%
	Milton	12.3%	37.9%	21.5%	28.4%	39.4%	-	-	-	-	-	2.0%
	Mt. Mansfield UUSD	11.4%	38.7%	21.0%	28.9%	13.7%	-	-	100.0%	-	-	
	South Burlington	11.8%	37.6%	21.4%	29.2%	20.4%	-	-	-	-	-	6.5%
	Winooski ID	12.7%	41.9%	18.5%	26.9%	57.1%	-	-	-	-	-	28.1%
Essex												
	Brighton	14.0%	71.6%	14.3%	-	68.9%	100.0%	-	-	92.3%		
	Canaan	10.8%	35.6%	22.6%	31.0%	31.2%	100.0%	-	-	-	132.1%	
	Northeast Kingdom Choice USD	4.1%	41.9%	24.1%	29.9%	14.8%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	
Franklin		1					1			I	т т	
	Enosburgh-Richford USD	10.8%	40.2%	21.0%	28.0%	59.1%			100.0%	-	-	0.1%
	Fairfax	10.9%	42.5%	20.2%	26.4%	23.5%			-		-	0.7%
	Fletcher	11.2%	39.6%	24.9%	24.4%	27.4%	100.0%		-	45.1%	-	
Franklin	Georgia	10.3%	40.9%	21.9%	27.0%	19.6%			-	-	-	0.7%
	Maple Run USD	10.0%	43.0%	20.5%	26.5%	39.1%				-	-	0.5%
	Missisquois Valley School District	9.5%	42.9%	21.1%	26.5%	39.8%			100.0%	-		0.9%
	Northern Mountain Valley UUSD	10.8%	41.0%	21.6%	26.6%	41.2%	100.0%	-	-	-	38.7%	0.6%
Grand Isle						==	1			1		
	Alburgh	10.2%	39.2%	21.8%	28.8%	55.6%		100.0%	-	-	60.5%	
	Champlain Islands UUSD	7.3%	42.4%	20.6%	29.7%	32.2%	100.0%	-	-	19.0%	37.5%	
amailla	South Hero	4.4%	46.0%	17.2%	32.4%	21.0%	100.0%	1 -	<u> </u>	-	63.1%	
Lamoille		11	==1				1	1		1		
	Cambridge	16.4%	70.7%	12.9%	-	28.7%	-	-	100.0%	40 501	70 70	
	Lamoille North MUSD A	14.3%	74.2%	11.5%	-	47.6%	-	100.0%	-	10.5%	73.7%	0.2%
	Lamoille North MUSD B	- 40.121	-	36.3%	63.7%	45.9%	-	100.0%	-	-		0.2%
	Lamoille South USD	10.1%	40.1%	21.5%	28.4%	28.5%	-	100.00	100.0%	-	40.00	0.5%
	Wolcott	7.2%	40.4%	22.3%	30.1%	37.2%	-	100.0%	-	-	49.2%	

Notes:

a) All percentages in the table were calculated by dividing the LT ADM within each cost factor category by that districts' overall LT ADM. In Column 12, the districts with percentages greater than 100% reflect districts where the small school has more students than the number of students living in that district districts.

b) LT ADM counts are from FY 2020, with some minor adjustments made to reflect data corrections.

Percentage of each district within each cost factor category

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
		Grades EE & pK	Grades K-5	Grades 6-8	Grades 9-12	FRL	Sparsity <36 per square	Sparsity ≥36 and <55 per	Sparsity ≥55 and <100 per	Small School ≤100 enrollment	Small School 100 < enrollment	ELL
	School district						mile	square mile	square mile		≤250	
Orange	Blue Mountain USD	8.2%	40.9%	24.1%	26.8%	44.4%	100.0%		l :			1.0%
	Echo Valley Community School District	6.4%	40.9% 39.6%	24.1%	31.8%	44.4% 45.0%	100.0%	-	-	60.1%	-	1.0%
	First Branch USD	9.4%	43.9%	22.2%	26.2%	45.0% 35.0%	100.0%		-	60.1%	62.8%	
		9.4% 6.2%	43.9%			35.0% 40.7%	100.0%		100.0%		62.8%	0.5%
0	Orange Southwest USD Oxbow UHSD			21.9%	28.0%			100.0%	100.0%		53.9%	
Orange		9.1%	44.7%	20.7%	25.5%	46.5%	100.00/	100.0%	-	00.00/		0.9%
	Rivendell Interstate USD	10.0%	46.5%	19.4%	24.1%	44.8%	100.0%		-	26.3%	110.0%	0.9%
	Strafford Thetford	7.4%	39.1% 37.3%	21.5% 22.2%	32.1%	26.9% 9.7%	100.0%		100.0%	59.6%	-	
		8.7%			31.8%		100.09/		100.0%		64.2%	
Orloono	Waits River Valley USD	8.6%	46.4%	19.9%	25.1%	43.8%	100.0%	-	-	-	64.2%	
Orleans	OhIt	10.40/	FC 00/	07.00/	-	70.00/	100.00/		1	77.70/		
	Charleston	16.4% 6.0%	56.3% 46.5%	27.3% 22.0%	- OF 60/	70.3%	100.0%	100.0%	-	77.7%	72.6%	
	Coventry				25.6%	53.1%	100.00/	100.0%	-	-		4.00/
	Craftsbury	12.0%	39.6%	19.3%	29.1%	41.1%	100.0%	-	100.00/	-	113.1%	1.3%
	Derby	16.6%	71.7%	11.7%	-	48.4%	-	-	100.0%	-	-	
	Holland	18.4%	66.5%	15.1%	-	63.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	
	Jay	24.4%	65.2%	10.5%		42.0%	100.0%	-	-	76.9%	-	
	Lake Region UHSD	-	-	-	100.0%	50.6%		100.0%	-	-	-	
	Lake Region Union Elementary-Middle Sch		59.8%	28.9%	-	64.7%	-	100.0%	-	10.5%	79.7%	
Orleans	Lowell	17.0%	51.8%	31.2%	-	59.7%	100.0%		-	85.4%	-	-
	Morgan	17.8%	71.2%	11.0%	-	52.3%	100.0%		-	-	-	-
	Newport City	16.8%	71.2%	12.0%	-	90.1%			-		-	-
	Newport Town	13.3%	54.7%	32.0%	-	66.4%	100.0%	-	-	57.7%	-	-
	North Country Jr UHSD	-	-	100.0%	-	57.6%	-	100.0%	-	-	-	-
	North Country Sr UHSD	-		-	100.0%	46.4%	-	100.0%	-	-	-	-
	Orleans Southwest UESD	16.3%	72.7%	11.0%	-	44.6%	-	100.0%	-	26.8%	53.3%	0.4%
	Troy	8.5%	56.6%	34.9%	-	84.9%	-	100.0%	-	-	95.2%	-
	Westfield	18.6%	45.8%	35.6%	-	58.5%	100.0%	-	-	53.1%	-	-
Rutland		,										
	Barstow USD	8.0%	40.7%	22.2%	29.1%	15.9%	100.0%	-	-	-	60.3%	0.3%
	Ira	3.5%	49.1%	19.3%	28.1%	18.7%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-
	Mettawee School District	9.8%	41.4%	21.0%	27.9%	27.3%	100.0%	-	-	-	49.2%	-
	Mill River USD	10.0%	40.8%	20.3%	28.9%	36.4%	-	100.0%	-	13.9%	35.3%	-
	Otter Valley USD	9.9%	39.5%	24.2%	26.3%	40.3%	-	-	100.0%	-	-	0.2%
Rutland	Pittsfield	5.7%	39.6%	25.4%	29.4%	17.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-
	Quarry Valley USD	10.6%	41.0%	22.6%	25.8%	49.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Rutland City	7.2%	40.1%	21.0%	31.6%	60.1%	-	-	-	-	-	0.7%
	Rutland Town	6.4%	37.9%	25.3%	30.4%	19.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Slate Valley USD	8.9%	40.1%	21.6%	29.4%	36.5%	-	100.0%	-	4.1%	33.7%	0.3%
	Wells Spring USD	9.7%	40.8%	21.5%	28.0%	35.9%	-	100.0%	-	46.9%	-	-
Washingt												
·	Barre USD	10.1%	42.2%	19.6%	28.1%	37.3%	-	-	-	-	-	1.6%
Washington	Cabot	11.3%	40.2%	21.7%	26.8%	41.2%	-	100.0%	-	-	80.7%	
	Harwood USD	11.6%	41.6%	21.9%	24.8%	18.4%	-	-	100.0%	-	-	0.7%
	Montpelier Roxbury School District	10.8%	41.6%	21.3%	26.3%	17.9%	-	-	-	-	-	4.2%
	Paine Mountain School District	9.0%	41.8%	20.9%	28.3%	43.9%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Twinfield USD	10.1%	38.0%	20.8%	31.0%	37.3%	-	100.0%	-	-	-	-
	Washington Central USD	9.4%	38.8%	21.8%	29.9%	29.8%	-	100.0%	-	11.2%	34.6%	0.5%

Notes:

a) All percentages in the table were calculated by dividing the LT ADM within each cost factor category by that districts' overall LT ADM. In Column 12, the districts with percentages greater than 100% reflect districts where the small school has more students than the number of students living in that district districts.

b) LT ADM counts are from FY 2020, with some minor adjustments made to reflect data corrections.

Percentage of each district within each cost factor category

1_	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
		Grades EE & pK	Grades K-5	Grades 6-8	Grades 9-12	FRL	Sparsity <36 per square	Sparsity ≥36 and <55 per	Sparsity ≥55 and <100 per	Small School ≤100 enrollment	Small School 100 < enrollment	ELL
	School district						mile	square mile	square mile	=100 CHIOMHOR	≤250	
Windham												
	Bellows Falls UHSD	-	-	-	100.0%	32.9%	-	-	100.0%	-	-	0.6%
	Marlboro	9.4%	40.9%	17.7%	32.0%	46.1%	-	100.0%	-	60.0%	-	-
	River Valleys USD	6.8%	36.2%	22.9%	34.0%	33.1%	-	100.0%	-	47.7%	-	1.1%
	Rockingham	14.9%	57.2%	28.0%	-	52.2%	-		-	-	-	0.7%
	Southern Valley USD	6.2%	40.2%	20.2%	33.5%	42.0%	100.0%		-	61.5%	-	-
	Stratton	2.8%	27.4%	29.2%	40.6%	3.8%	100.0%		-	-	-	-
Windham	Twin Valley USD	10.5%	40.7%	20.7%	28.1%	51.2%		100.0%	-	-	91.8%	0.5%
	Vernon	9.7%	39.9%	21.3%	29.0%	22.8%		-	-	-	-	1.2%
	West River MUED A	18.6%	69.7%	11.8%		52.7%	100.0%		-	41.3%	41.0%	0.6%
	West River MUED B	-	-	32.3%	67.7%	38.5%	100.0%		-	-	-	0.6%
	Windham	31.1%	62.2%	6.7%	-	35.6%	100.0%	-	-	71.1%	-	-
	Windham Northeast UESD	12.5%	54.0%	33.5%	-	55.1%	-	100.0%	-	14.9%	48.7%	0.6%
	Windham Southeast USD	11.1%	40.5%	20.3%	28.1%	38.2%	-		-	-	-	0.8%
Windsor												
	Green Mountain USD	9.1%	40.0%	20.7%	30.2%	43.6%	-	100.0%	-	13.0%	33.8%	0.4%
	Hartford	9.7%	41.8%	21.2%	27.4%	33.3%	-		-	-	-	1.5%
	Hartland	5.2%	40.5%	21.8%	32.5%	33.1%	-		100.0%	-	-	-
	Ludlow-Mt. Holly UUSD	11.9%	43.8%	17.2%	27.2%	39.7%	-	100.0%	-	49.9%	35.6%	1.4%
	Mount Ascutney USD	7.0%	42.6%	20.4%	30.1%	32.4%	-		-	-	-	-
Windsor	Norwich	6.2%	39.2%	25.2%	29.4%	1.9%	-		100.0%	-	-	0.3%
Willasor	Rochester-Stockbridge USD	13.6%	38.6%	21.1%	26.6%	38.4%	100.0%		-	64.8%	-	-
	Sharon	12.1%	40.1%	21.2%	26.6%	34.2%	-	100.0%	-	-	48.8%	-
	Springfield	10.1%	40.9%	20.6%	28.4%	56.1%	-		-	-	-	1.1%
	Weathersfield	4.4%	44.0%	22.0%	29.7%	30.4%	-		100.0%	-	-	-
	White River USD	8.3%	47.2%	20.0%	24.5%	42.7%	-	100.0%	-	-	99.2%	-
	Windsor Central UUSD	10.3%	38.5%	21.2%	30.1%	22.6%	100.0%	-	-	27.3%	20.3%	0.9%
Statewide	е	9.9%	40.6%	21.1%	28.4%	35.6%	10.0%	22.4%	18.5%	3.9%	11.3%	2.0%

Notes:

a) All percentages in the table were calculated by dividing the LT ADM within each cost factor category by that districts' overall LT ADM. In Column 12, the districts with percentages greater than 100% reflect districts where the small school has more students than the number of students living in that district districts.

b) LT ADM counts are from FY 2020, with some minor adjustments made to reflect data corrections.